

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	D				7
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	C	ØMN	V E	RCI	ď
United States Patent and Trademark	ø	ffice	1		
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT	2	-\1	ľ		
P.O. Box 1450	١	W	l		1
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		1 1	•		L

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/677,721	10/01/2003	Young Kwang Byun	114260-011	9210		
75	90 06/16/2004		EXAM	INER		
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC		LE, HU	LE, HUYEN D			
P.O. Box 1135 Chicago, IL 6	0690-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ogo, 12 o			3751			

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/677,721	BYUN, YOUNG KWANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Huyen Le	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 O</u>	ctober 2003.					
·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,6-8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,5 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Drawings

- 2. Figure 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the diaphragm having

Art Unit: 3751

a <u>concave</u> shape must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3751

6. Claim 3 or 6 recites the limitation "the opening" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-3, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nehashi et al (6,056,470).

The Nehashi et al reference discloses a cosmetic application apparatus comprising a body 1,4, the body including a diaphragm 1b, in which a hole 5e1 is formed, and a groove 1a formed in a side surface; a cap mounted 4b mounted on the lower end of the body, the cap having an air circulation hole 4b2; a pressurization pump having a push button 6, a push rod 6a, the push button 6 being detachably mounted on the groove 1a, the push rod being located inside the body having a discharge induction tube 5a1 and a guide part 5a3 on an end, the guide part sliding contact with the push button 6; a spring 5d for providing a restoring force to the push button 6 and the push rod 5a; a brush 2a and brush holder 2b for applying the cosmetic onto a user's skin; an injection nozzle 2b1 for providing the cosmetics, which is discharged through the discharge valve to the brush through a nozzle; a front end part 2c for supporting the brush holder while the brush 2a passes through the front end part 2c, the front end part

Art Unit: 3751

2c being mounted on the upper end of the body; and a protection cap coupled to the front end part 3.

Regarding claim 2, the push rod 5a is a two-stepped tube having different diameters, in which the tube of a smaller diameter is a discharge induction tube and the tube of a larger diameter has a guide part 5a3 formed by tapering a side surface of the outer circumference sliding contact with the push button, and wherein a pressurization member 6c is formed on the lower portion of the push button sliding contact with the tapered guide part.

Regarding claims 3 and 8, the apparatus comprises a supply valve mounted to the discharge induction tube, which is formed inside the push rod, the supply valve having an open-and-shut mechanism and a spring for providing a restoring force.

Regarding claim 6, the apparatus comprises a cosmetic containing part 4 formed integrally with the cap, the cosmetics containing part having a diameter and a length extending to the diaphragm and being inserted into the body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nehashi et al (6,056,470) in view of Rogers et al (5,871297)

Art Unit: 3751

The Nehashi et al reference discloses a cosmetic apparatus further comprises an auxiliary cap inserted into the cosmetics containing part 4 to be in contact with the cap 4b.

Although the Nehashi et al does not disclose that the auxiliary cap 4a includes an O-ring, an O-ring is known being used with a sliding cap or piston. Attention is direct to the Rogers et al reference which discloses an O-ring 34 mounted on a sliding cap 32 (piston) for providing sealing effect.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an O-ring on the auxiliary cap of the Nehashi et al cosmetic apparatus in view of the teaching of the Rogers et al reference for enhancing the sealing effect to prevent leakage.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 4, 5 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Krueckel et al, Kay et al, Lhuisset and Endo references disclose applicator having check valves and sliding cap.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 703-306-5504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,721 Page 7

Art Unit: 3751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL June 10, 2004

> GREGORY L. HUSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700